

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Reply to Douka et al.: Critical evaluation of the Ksâr 'Akil chronologies

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1627214> since 2017-03-03T11:11:17Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1073/pnas.1520412112

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Bosch, Marjolein D; Mannino, Marcello A.; Prendergast, Amy L.; O'Connell, Tamsin C.; Demarchi, Beatrice; Taylor, Sheila M.; Niven, Laura; Van Der Plicht, Johannes; Hublin, Jean-Jacques. Reply to Douka et al.: Critical evaluation of the Ksâr 'Akil chronologies. PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 112 (51) pp: E7035-N/A.
DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1520412112

The publisher's version is available at:

<https://syndication.highwire.org/content/doi/10.1073/pnas.1520412112>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/>

Reply to Douka et al.: Critical evaluation of the Ksâr 'Akil chronologies

Our paper (1) proposes a new chronology for Ksâr 'Akil based on 16 accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) determinations on shells. To minimize the possibility of dating diagenetically compromised samples, we conducted amino acid racemization analyses on the intracrystalline proteins, oxygen isotope analysis, and geochemical characterization of all dated shells. Our calibrated radiocarbon ages fit well with existing Levantine chronologies, but are up to 4,000 y older than Douka et al.'s (2). Our paper explores several possibilities for this difference, whereas Douka et al. (3) provide alternative explanations. They accept our radiocarbon ages as correct but question our sample selection and Bayesian modeling. Douka et al. (3) question both the inclusion of samples from the 1940s excavations and our combined outlier analysis. Excluding the 1940s samples and running a manual outlier analysis (using 0.05 prior outlier probabilities without manual down-weighting) (4) results in age ranges of 42.7–40.9 ka cal B.P. for the Egbert fossil and 44.9–43.6 ka cal B.P. for the start of the dated Initial Upper Paleolithic (IUP; Layer XXII). Thus, Egbert's age estimation is slightly younger than in our paper (1), but the age estimations for the IUP do not change, and they support our original conclusions. The date for the Ethelruda fossil found in Layer XXV was modeled without a lower constraint, thus generating an age estimate that may be skewed backward in time. As suggested by Douka et al. (3), placing start and end boundaries for the undated IUP allows the model to constrain the lower boundary of Ethelruda's age range. We would not dispute that, but setting a lower constraint is problematic. Currently, there are no dates for the start of the IUP; thus, placing boundaries cannot be substantiated by any chronological data. Nonetheless, incorporating these boundaries in our model provides an age estimate for Ethelruda of 49.9–44.1 ka cal B.P., making it older than all European human fossils except Cavallo B [the context of which has been questioned (5)]. Douka et al. (3) also propose including dates from lower in the sequence. We rejected this option, as all available dates are of uncertain provenience and/or obtained on compromised samples (1). Stutz et al. (6) independently raised doubts on the Middle Paleolithic AMS dates by Douka et al. (2), concluding that chemical diagenesis resulted in minimum ages. Moreover, excluding both the date function for Ethelruda and the constraining boundaries, the start of the dated IUP is 44.9–43.6 ka cal B.P., both with and without the 1940s samples. This provides a minimum age estimate for the underlying undated IUP deposits containing Ethelruda at their base. In conclusion, differences in the Bayesian models used do not appear to explain the divergence between our dates and those reported by Douka et al. (2). Our data provide reliable estimates for modern humans and the IUP at Ksâr 'Akil and support our initial conclusions for an early chronology for modern humans in the Levant. This is in line with other Levantine datasets and is compatible with the Levantine corridor hypothesis.

Marjolein D. Bosch^{a,1}, Marcello A. Mannino^{a,b}, Amy L. Prendergast^c, Tamsin C. O'Connell^d, Beatrice Demarchi^e, Sheila M. Taylor^f, Laura Niven^a, Johannes van der Plicht^{g,h}, and Jean-Jacques Hublin^a

^a Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany; ^b Department of Archaeology, School of Culture and Society, Aarhus University, DK-8270 Højbjerg, Denmark; ^c Institute of Geosciences, University of Mainz, D-55128, Mainz, Germany; ^d Department of Archaeology and Anthropology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3DZ, United Kingdom; ^e BioArCh, Department of Archaeology, University of York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom; ^f Department of Chemistry, University of York, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom; ^g

Center for Isotope Research, Groningen University, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands; and ^h
Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University, 2333 CC, Leiden, The Netherlands

- (1) Bosch MD, et al. (2015) New chronology for Ksâr 'Akil (Lebanon) supports Levantine route of modern human dispersal into Europe. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112(25):7683–7688.
- (2) Douka K, Bergman CA, Hedges RE, Wesselingh FP, Higham TF (2013) Chronology of Ksar Akil (Lebanon) and implications for the colonization of Europe by anatomically modern humans. *PLoS One* 8(9):e72931.
- (3) Douka K, Higham TFG, Bergman CA (2015) Statistical and archaeological errors invalidate the proposed chronology for the site of Ksar Akil. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112:E7034.
- (4) Bronk Ramsey C (2009) Dealing with outliers and offsets in radiocarbon dating. *Radiocarbon* 51(3):1023–1045.
- (5) Zilhão J, Banks WE, d'Errico F, Gioia P (2015) Analysis of site formation and assemblage integrity does not support attribution of the Uluzzian to modern humans at Grotta del Cavallo. *PLoS One* 10(7):e0131181.
- (6) Stutz AJ, et al. (2015) Early Upper Paleolithic chronology in the Levant: New ABOx-SC accelerator mass spectrometry results from the Mughr el-Hamamah Site, Jordan. *J Hum Evol* 85:157–173.

Author contributions: M.D.B., M.A.M., and J.-J.H. designed research; M.D.B., M.A.M., A.L.P., B.D., S.M.T., L.N., and J.v.d.P. performed research; M.D.B., M.A.M., A.L.P., T.C.O., B.D., and J.v.d.P. analyzed data; and M.D.B., M.A.M., B.D., L.N., and J.-J.H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest. 1

To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: marjolein_online@hotmail.com.